

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 1122 of 1985

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE Y.B.BHATT

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

NANDLAL MANISHANKER TRIVEDI

Versus

HASANAND BROTHERS

Appearance:

MR MD PANDYA for Petitioners
MR TV SHAH for Respondent No. 1
NOTICE SERVED for Respondent No. 2, 3

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE Y.B.BHATT

Date of decision: 17/06/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that both the petitioners have expired long back, and inspite of his best efforts he has been unable to obtain any further instructions in the matter. He, therefore, states that the present matter has now become infructuous. Rule is, therefore, discharged with no order as to costs. Liberty to apply in case of difficulty.

[Y.B. BHATT J.]

AR